

Galois Extensions of Structured Ring Spectra

Xiaoyang Chen

2024.12.4

- 1 Conditions on topological groups
- 2 Definitions and examples
- 3 Dualizability and faithfulness
- 4 The Galois correspondence
- 5 Generalizations

Conventions

The Hom of an ∞ -category will always be a space, while Map will always mean a the mapping spectra of a stable category, with some module structure equipped if necessary. Moreover, colimits and limits are always homotopy colimits and limits.

S is the sphere spectrum.

Conditions on topological groups

Definition

Recall that for a spectrum X , its dual is defined to be $\mathrm{Map}(X, S)$, where S is the sphere spectrum. We define a topological group G to be stably dualizable if its suspension spectrum $S[G] := \Sigma_+^\infty G$ is dualizable. We denote for simplicity its dual to be DG_+ .

Recall

Recall for a ring spectrum R , a right R -module A and a left R -module B , $A \otimes_R B$ as

$$\varinjlim (A \otimes B \begin{smallmatrix} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{smallmatrix} A \otimes R \otimes B \quad \cdots)$$

and for a left R -module A and a left R -module B , $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, B)$ is

$$\varprojlim (\mathrm{Map}(A, B) \begin{smallmatrix} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{smallmatrix} \mathrm{Map}(A \otimes R, B) \quad \cdots \quad \mathrm{Map}(A \otimes R \otimes R, B))$$

Proposition

$S[G]$ admits a ring spectrum structure, and for a spectrum X , a left $S[G]$ -module structure on X is equivalent to a left G -action on X , and if we equip S with the trivial bimodule structure, we have $S \otimes_{S[G]} X = X_{hLG}$, and $\mathrm{Map}_{LS[G]}(S, X) = X^{hLG}$. We have a similar theorem for right $S[G]$ -module structures on X . Likewise, we define for an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum A , the group algebra $A[G] = A \otimes S[G]$.

proof

The ring spectrum structure of $S[G]$ comes from the property that Σ_+^∞ is symmetric monoidal, allowing us to inherit a ring structure from the group structure of G .

Then, given a $S[G]$ -module structure on X , the $g \in G$ acts on X by the map $X \otimes S \hookrightarrow X \otimes S[G] \rightarrow X$, where $S \hookrightarrow S[G]$ is given by the inclusion $\{g\} \hookrightarrow G$, and the second morphism the structure map of the module. Therefore we have equivalences of categories $\mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Sp}) \simeq_{S[G]} \mathbf{Mod}$.

proof (continued)

Then, notice that given a spectrum X , the trivial G -action on X is given by the module structure $S[G] \otimes X \rightarrow X$ given by the projection of all elements of G to a point. In particular, the trivial action of G on S is given this way. We conclude that this functor is equivalent to associating a spectrum X the constant X -valued BG -diagram in $\mathbf{Sp}$. Moreover, We see that $S \otimes_{S[G]} X$ and $\mathrm{Map}_{LS[G]}(S, X)$ are by definition the left adjoint and right adjoint of the inclusion via trivial modules. By the uniqueness of the left and right adjoint, we see that $S \otimes_{S[G]} X = X_{hLG}$ and $\mathrm{Map}_{LS[G]}(S, X) = X^{hLG}$.

Corollary

DG_+ admit a right G -action, with the right $S[G]$ -module structure given by the dual of the left $S[G]$ -module structure on $S[G]$, explicitly given by the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S) \otimes S[G], \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S)) \\ & \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S) \otimes S[G] \otimes S[G], S) \end{aligned}$$

and the map is the preimage of

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S) \otimes (S[G] \otimes S[G]) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S) \otimes S[G] \rightarrow S$$

Definition

Notice that $S[G]$ admits a Hopf algebra structure with coalgebra structure given by the diagonal map $\Delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$, the counit given by the map collapsing G to a point, and the conjugation given by the inverse map $i : G \rightarrow G$.

Definition

For a stable dualizable group, we define the dualizing spectrum $S^{\text{ad}G} = S[G]^{hRG}$, where $S[G]$ is regarded as a right G -module.

Theorem

We have $DG_+ \otimes S^{\text{ad}G} \simeq S[G]$.

proof

We consider the shear map given by

$$DG_+ \otimes S[G] \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} DG_+ \otimes S[G] \otimes S[G] \xrightarrow{m \otimes \text{id}} DG_+ \otimes S[G]$$

We claim that this map has an inverse given by

$$\begin{aligned} DG_+ \otimes S[G] &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} DG_+ \otimes S[G] \otimes S[G] \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i \otimes \text{id}} DG_+ \otimes S[G] \otimes S[G] \xrightarrow{m \otimes \text{id}} DG_+ \otimes S[G] \end{aligned}$$

where the middle map is induced by the inverse map on the middle $S[G]$ -factor.

proof (continued)

Then, notice that if we take a right G -action on the left given by the right G -action on $S[G]$, the shear map takes this G -action to the standard right G -actions. Thus taking homotopy fixed points on both sides, we obtain on the left $(DG_+ \otimes S[G])^{hRG} \simeq DG_+ \otimes S^{\text{ad}G}$, and on the right we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (DG_+ \otimes S[G])^{hRG} \\ & \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S[G])^{hRG} \\ & \simeq \text{Map}_R G(S, \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], S[G])) \\ & \simeq \text{Map}_R G(S[G], S[G]) \\ & \simeq S[G] \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Dually we define $S^{-\mathrm{ad}G} = (DG_+)_{hLG}$. Moreover, we have $S[G] \otimes S^{-\mathrm{ad}G} \simeq DG_+$.

Corollary

This implies $S^{\mathrm{ad}G} \otimes S^{-\mathrm{ad}G} \simeq S$.

proof

From the above we deduce that $S[G] \otimes S^{\mathrm{ad}G} \otimes S^{-\mathrm{ad}G} \simeq S[G]$. Then by shearing the G actions on both sides to only acting on $S[G]$ if necessary, taking G -homotopy orbits on both sides we obtain the result.

Theorem

For X a left G -module, this map induces the norm map $N : (X \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hLG} \rightarrow X^{hLG}$. As a result, we may define the Tate construction X^{tG} to be the cofiber of the norm map.

proof

Consider the limit-colimit exchange map

$$\kappa : ((X \otimes S[G])^{hRG})_{hLG} \rightarrow ((X \otimes S[G])_{hLG})^{hRG}$$

where $X \otimes S[G]$ is equipped with the right action acting only on $S[G]$, and the left action acting diagonally.

Lemma

We have $(S[G] \otimes X)^{tG} \simeq *$.

proof

Notice the source of the norm map can be identified with $(S[G] \otimes X \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hLG} \simeq X \otimes S^{\text{ad}G}$, since we may always shear the G -action on the left to the action only on $S[G]$. Then on the right we have

$$\begin{aligned}(S[G] \otimes X)^{hRG} &\simeq (DG_+ \otimes S^{\text{ad}G} \otimes W)^{hRG} \\ &\simeq \text{Map}(S[G], W \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})^{hRG} \simeq W \otimes S^{\text{ad}G}\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the result.

Definitions and examples

Definition

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ring spectra, and let G be a stably dualizable group acting on the left B through $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ A -algebras (this basically implies that $A \rightarrow B$ is also a map of left G -modules). We say that this is a G -Galois extension if we have $A \rightarrow B^{hG}$ is an equivalence, and $h : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(S[G], B)$ is an equivalence, where this second map is obtained from the dual $B \otimes_A B \otimes S[G] \rightarrow B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$.

Lemma

For any $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum A with G stably dualizable, the map $A \rightarrow \text{Map}(S[G], A)$ is a G -Galois extension. The map $A \rightarrow \text{Map}(S[G], A)$ is the dual of the collapse map mapping G to $*$.

Theorem

Recall that the Adams operation $\psi^{-1} : KU \rightarrow KU$ is the operation sending a vector bundle to its complex conjugate, and $(\psi^{-1})^2 = \text{id}$. Then let $c : KO \rightarrow KU$ be the complexification, then we have c and ψ^{-1} exhibits KU as a C_2 -Galois extension of KO .

Dualizability and faithfulness

Definition

Recall for A an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra, an A -module M is faithful if for any other A -module N we have $M \otimes_A N = 0 \implies N = 0$. We say an A -algebra B is faithful over A if it is faithful as an A -module.

Lemma

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebras, and M a faithful A -module, we have the following.

- ① A map of A -modules $X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence iff $M \otimes X \rightarrow M \otimes Y$ is a weak equivalence.
- ② $B \otimes_A M$ is a faithful B -module.
- ③ If $A \rightarrow B$ is moreover faithful, and for some A -module N we have $B \otimes_A N$ is a faithful B -module we have N is a faithful A -module.

Lemma

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebras, and M an A -module. Then we have the following.

- 1 If M is dualizable as an A -module, then $B \otimes_A M$ is a dualizable B -module.
- 2 If $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful, B dualizable over A , and $M \otimes_A B$ is a dualizable B -module, then M is a dualizable A -module.

Lemma

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra, and let G be a stably dualizable group acting on B through A -algebra homomorphisms. Then if M is a dualizable A -module, then the canonical map $M \otimes_A B^{hG} \simeq (M \otimes_A B)^{hG}$ is an equivalence.

proof

Notice that the left hand side could be identified with $\mathrm{Map}(D_A M, B^{hG})$, while the right hand side could be identified with $\mathrm{Map}_A(D_A M, B)^{hG}$, and we may simply use the fact that taking limits commutes with the second factor of Map .

Proposition

Let A and B be $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ring spectra, and B be a G -Galois extension of A , then we have

- 1 For each B -module M a natural equivalence

$$h_M : M \otimes_A B \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{Sp}}(S[G], M)$$

- 2 For each B -module M a natural equivalence

$$j_M : M \otimes S[G] \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_A(B, M)$$

Proposition

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a G -Galois extension, then B is a dualizable A -module.

proof

We show the map $\mathrm{Map}_A(B, A) \otimes B \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_A(B, B)$ is an equivalence. Note that this is the top map in the following diagram, with the second B on the left and the right actually replaced by arbitrary A -modules.

proof (continued)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes_A \operatorname{Map}_A(B, A) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Map}_A(B, M \otimes_A A) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M \otimes_A \operatorname{Map}_A(B, B^{hLG}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Map}_A(B, M \otimes_A B^{hLG}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M \otimes_A \operatorname{Map}(B, B)^{hLG} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Map}_A(B, M \otimes_A B)^{hLG} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 M \otimes_A (B \otimes S[G])^{hLG} & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_A B \otimes S[G])^{hLG} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 M \otimes_A (B \otimes S[G] \otimes S^{\operatorname{ad}G})_{hRG} & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_A B \otimes S[G] \otimes S^{\operatorname{ad}G})_{hRG}
 \end{array}$$

Proposition

A G -Galois extension $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful iff the norm map $N : (B \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hG} \rightarrow B^{hG}$ is an equivalence.

proof

If the norm map is an equivalence, and M is an A -module such that $M \otimes_A B \simeq *$, then we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} M &\simeq M \otimes_A A \simeq M \otimes_A B^{hG} \simeq M \otimes_A (B \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hG} \\ &\simeq (M \otimes_A \otimes_B \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hG} \simeq * \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful.

proof (continued)

For the converse, it suffices to prove $B \otimes_A (B \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hG} \rightarrow B \otimes_A B^{hG}$ is an equivalence. To prove this, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \otimes_A (B \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hLG} & \longrightarrow & B \otimes_A B^{hRG} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (B \otimes_A B \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hLG} & \longrightarrow & (B \otimes_A B)^{hRG} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (\text{Map}(S[G], B) \otimes S^{\text{ad}G})_{hLG} & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S[G], B)^{hRG}
 \end{array}$$

Notice that all the vertical maps are equivalences by preceding lemmas or definitions, and the bottom horizontal map is an equivalence by a dual argument of the fact that the norm map is an equivalence on free G -modules.

Proposition

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ring spectra, and let G be a stably dualizable group acting on B through A -algebra maps. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is a faithful G -Galois extension iff $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}(S[G], B)$ is an equivalence and B is faithful and dualizable over A .

proof

$\implies$ is obvious, and we prove $\impliedby$. We show $A \rightarrow B^{hG}$ hence $B \rightarrow B \otimes_A B^{hG}$ is an equivalence. But we have $B \simeq \operatorname{Map}(S[G], B)^{hG} \simeq (B \otimes_A B)^{hG} \simeq B \otimes_A B^{hG}$ where the last map is again by the dualizability of B .

Proposition

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a G -Galois extension with G an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ group. Then B is smash invertible as an $A[G]$ -module iff $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful.

Lemma

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ring spectra, and let $A \rightarrow C$ be a G -Galois extension. Then we have the following.

- 1 If $A \rightarrow C$ is faithful, then $B \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ is a faithful G -Galois extension.
- 2 If B is dualizable over A , then $B \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ is a G -Galois extension.

Lemma

Let $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ be maps of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ring spectrum, and suppose B is faithful and dualizable over A . Then let G be a stably dualizable group acting on C through A -algebra maps. Then we have $B \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ is a (faithful) G -Galois extension implies $A \rightarrow C$ is a (faithful) G -Galois extension.

The Galois correspondence

Definition

Let G be a stably dualizable group, and we say a subgroup $K \subseteq G$ is allowable if K is stably dualizable, the collapse map $G \times_K EK \rightarrow G/K$ is a stable equivalence, and the projection $G \rightarrow G/K$ admits a section. We say K is an allowable normal subgroup if furthermore K is normal. Finally we define the equivalences between allowable subgroups to be the minimal equivalence relation generated by the inclusions $K \subseteq K'$ and $K \hookrightarrow K'$ is a stable equivalence.

Theorem (Galois correspondence, part I)

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a faithful G -Galois extension and $K \subseteq G$ be any allowable subgroup. Then the inclusion $C = B^{hK} \rightarrow B$ is a faithful G -Galois extension. Moreover, if K is an allowable normal subgroup, then $A \rightarrow C$ is a faithful G -Galois extension.

proof

We will prove the extensions are Galois by detecting it through the dualizable and faithful algebras. That is, since B is faithful and dualizable over A , we have $C \otimes_A B$ is faithful and dualizable over C . Thus to prove $C \rightarrow B$ is Galois, it suffices to prove that

$$B \otimes_A C = C \otimes_C (C \otimes_A B) \rightarrow B \otimes_C (C \otimes_A B) = B \otimes_A B$$

is Galois. We consider the commutative diagram

proof (continued)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B & \longleftarrow & B \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 C & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_A B & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S[G], B)^{hK} & \longleftarrow & \text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty G/K, B) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B & \longrightarrow & B \otimes_A B & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(S[G], B) & \longleftarrow & \text{Map}(S[G], B)
 \end{array}$$

we must prove that all the horizontal maps on the middle and right are equivalences, so that the extension $B \otimes_A C \rightarrow B \otimes_A B$ would be equivalent to the extension $\text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty G/K, B) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S[G], B)$. But this is the trivial extension by the allowable condition, as the following shows.

$$\text{Map}(S[G], B) \simeq \text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty (K \times G/K), B) \simeq F(S[K], \text{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty (G/K), B))$$

proof (continued)

Then use the fact that $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful and use the previous lemmas we have $C \rightarrow B$ is a faithful extension. Now, only the maps in the middle row are non-trivially equivalences, but indeed they are since

$$B \otimes_A C \simeq B \otimes_A B^{hK} \simeq (B \otimes_A B)^{hK} \simeq \operatorname{Map}(S[G], B)^{hK}$$

$$\operatorname{Map}(\Sigma_+^\infty(G/K), B) \simeq \operatorname{Map}(S[G]_{hK}, B) \simeq \operatorname{Map}(S[G], B)^{hK}$$

Finally it remains to treat the case where K is normal. If this is the case, then G/K is also a group, hence the extension $B \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ is equivalent to the trivial extension $B \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}(S[G/K], B)$, hence is faithfully G/K -Galois. And since B is faithful and dualizable over A , we may reflect this faithful extension back and obtain that $A \rightarrow C$ is faithfully G/K -Galois.

Definition

We define a category $\mathcal{C}$ to be Grothendieck prestable if there is some presentable stable category $\mathcal{D}$ equipped with a t -structure compatible with filtered colimits, and $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}$. In fact, we can canonically find such $\mathcal{D}$ by taking $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$, and associate it with the t -structure coming from $\mathcal{C}$. If furthermore $\mathcal{C}$ is endowed with a symmetric monoidal structure, we require the above definitions to be compatible with our symmetric monoidal structure.

Definition

Notice for such $\mathcal{C}$, we have doing truncations and taking connective covers agreeing with the t -structure of $\mathcal{D}$. Then we define $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ by its discrete objects, and we have $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit \simeq \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})^\heartsuit$. Then we define for $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\pi_i(X) \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ to be $(\Omega_i X)_{\leq 0}$.

Notice that a symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}$ gives a symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ making $\pi_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ symmetric monoidal.

Definition

We define a grading on a symmetric monoidal Grothendieck prestable category $\mathcal{C}$ to be an autoequivalence $[1] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ requiring $(c \otimes d)[1] \simeq c[1] \otimes d$. As usual, we will denote by its inverse $[-1]$. The autoequivalence preserves Σ and Ω , and hence preserves the t -structure of $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, $[1]$ preserves the subcategory of discrete objects, so $[1]$ restricts to an autoequivalence $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$, and we have $(\pi_i X)[k] \simeq \pi_i(X[k])$. We define the bigraded Ext groups for objects in $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ by

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit}^{s,t}(A, B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)}(A, \Sigma^s B[-t])$$

viewed as an object in $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$. Finally we can always give any Grothendieck prestable category the trivial grading by $[1] = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition

We say $\mathcal{C}$ is separable if the homotopy groups detect equivalences, and complete if the Postnikov towers converge, that is, for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $X \rightarrow \varprojlim_n X_{\leq n}$ is an equivalence.

Definition

A shift algebra A is an $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with a map $\tau : \Sigma A[-1] \rightarrow A$ of right A -modules inducing an isomorphism $\pi_* A \simeq \pi_0 A[\tau]$, where the latter is defined by the graded algebra in $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ by $(\pi_0 A[\tau])_k \simeq (\pi_0 A)[-k]$.

lemma

Let A be a shift algebra, and let M be a left A -module. Then the following are equivalent.

- ① $\pi_* M \simeq \pi_* A \otimes_{\pi_0 A} \pi_0 M$.
- ② $\pi_0 A \otimes_A M \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$.
- ③ $\tau \otimes \text{id}_M : \Sigma M[-1] \rightarrow M$ is a 1-connective cover.

Definition

We define a left A -module M to be periodic if it satisfies the above equivalent conditions. We denote the category of periodic modules to be the full subcategory $\mathbf{Mod}_A^{\text{per}}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbf{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem

Let A be a shift $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra, then we have $\mathbf{Mod}_A^{\text{per}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_A^{\tau^{-1}}(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}))$, where the second category is the stable subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_A(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}))$ consisting of τ -local modules.

const

For any associative algebra A , its postnikov tower is a tower of associative algebras

$$A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{\leq n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{\leq 0}$$

where the passage from $A_{\leq n-1}$ to $A_{\leq n}$ is obtained by a square zero extension (we just point it out here, and it would be crucial for later purposes). This induces a tower of Grothendieck prestable categories by

$$\mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq 0}}$$

By giving a filtration on each category $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$ by truncation, we may prove that $\mathbf{Mod}_A \simeq \varprojlim \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$. Hence to understand $\mathbf{Mod}_A$, it suffices to understand $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq 0}}$, and the relations between $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}}$.

Construction

We define $F_n \in \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$ by the fiber sequences $F_n \rightarrow A_{\leq n} \rightarrow A_{\leq n-1}$, which gives a pullback diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\leq n} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A_{\leq n-1} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow d_0 \\ A_{\leq n-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad d \quad} & A_{\leq n-1} \oplus \Sigma F_n \end{array}$$

Here $A_{\leq n-1} \oplus \Sigma F_n$ is a trivial square-zero extension, and d_0 is the trivial section, while d is a map of algebras depending on the square-zero extension $A_{\leq n} \rightarrow A_{\leq n-1}$.

Construction

This square induces a pullback square of module categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}} \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow d_0^* \\
 \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}} & \xrightarrow{d^*} & \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1} \oplus \Sigma F_n}
 \end{array}$$

here d^* and d_0^* denote the pushforward (tensor) of modules induced by the map d and d_0 . Then we let $\Theta : \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}}$ is defined as $\Theta M = d_* d_0^* M$, where d_* is the pullback of modules along d . Explicitly, we have $\Theta M \simeq M \oplus \Sigma^{n+1}(A_{\leq 0} \otimes_{A_{\leq n-1}} M)$.

Then consider the projection $p : A_{\leq n-1} \oplus \Sigma F_n \rightarrow A_{\leq n-1}$, noticing that d and d_0 are both sections of p , we have a map $d_* d_0^* M \rightarrow d_* p^* p_* d_0^* M \simeq M$. This gives a natural transformation of functors $\pi : \Theta \rightarrow \text{id}$.

Theorem

If $\mathbf{Mod}_A$ is generated by periodic modules, then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Mod}_A \simeq D_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\pi_0 A}(C^{\heartsuit}))$$

Theorem

We define the category of Θ -sections $\Theta - \text{Sect}_{A_{\leq n-1}}$ to be the category of triples (M, s, h) , where $M \in \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}}$, $s : M \rightarrow \Theta M$ and h is a homotopy from $\pi \circ s$ to id_M . Then we have $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}} \simeq \Theta - \text{Sect}_{A_{\leq n-1}}$. This means that M can be lifted to $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$ iff Θ has a section.

Definition

Recall that a module M is periodic iff $\pi_*(M) \simeq \pi_*(A) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_0(M)$. We say that an $A_{\leq n}$ -module N is a potential n -stage for a periodic A -module if we have $A_{\leq 0} \otimes_{A_{\leq n}} M$ is discrete. We denote the category of potential n -stages by $\mathcal{M}_n$. Equivalently, for $M \in \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$ and $n < \infty$, we have M is a potential n -stage iff $\pi_*(M) \simeq \pi_*(A_{\leq n}) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_0(M)$. This implies that modules of potential n -stages are n -truncated. Moreover, this implies that the A -module structure on M and $A_{\leq n}$ -module structure on M is equivalent.

Lemma

Let $M \in \mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n-1}}$. Then $\pi : \Theta M \rightarrow M$ is an n -equivalence.

Corollary

The cofiber of $\pi : \Theta M \rightarrow M$ is equivalent to $\Sigma^{n+2}\pi_0 M[-n]$, using the explicit construction of ΘM .

Theorem

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$, then M could be lifted to a potential n -stage (that is, if there is some $M' \in \mathcal{M}_n$ such that $M \simeq A_{\leq n-1} \otimes_{A_{\leq n}} N$) iff a certain obstruction class $o_M \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\pi_0(A)}^{n+2,n}(\pi_0(M), \pi_0(M))$ vanishes.

proof

Recall that M can be lifted to a potential n -stage iff $\Theta M \rightarrow M$ has a section, and by using the cofiber sequence $\Theta M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Sigma^{n+2}\pi_0 M[-n]$, the first map admits a section iff the second map is 0. Thus the obstruction to the lifting lies in

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\leq n-1}}(M, \Sigma^{n+2}\pi_0 M[-n]) \\ & \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\pi_0(A)}(\pi_0(A) \otimes_{A_{\leq n-1}} M, \Sigma^{n+2}\pi_0 M[-n]) \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that the target is canonically a $\pi_0(A)$ -module. By definition that M is a potential $(n-1)$ -stage, $\pi_0(A) \otimes_{A_{\leq n-1}} M \simeq \pi_0(M)$, hence the obstruction lies in

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\pi_0(A)}(\pi_0(M), \Sigma^{n+2}\pi_0(M)[-n]) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{\pi_0(A)}^{n+2,n}(\pi_0(M), \pi_0(M))$$

Theorem

Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_n$, then let $uM := A_{\leq n-1} \otimes_{A_{\leq n}} M$, we have a fiber sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_n}(M, N) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_{n-1}}(uM, uN) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathbf{Mod}_{\pi_0(A)}(C^\heartsuit))}(\pi_0(M), \Sigma^{n+1}\pi_0 N[-n]) \end{aligned}$$

proof

Notice that $\mathcal{M}_n$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_A$, moreover $uM \simeq M_{\leq n-1}$, hence the left arrow is equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N_{\leq n-1})$. Letting F be the fiber of $N \rightarrow N_{\leq n-1}$, we have a fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N_{\leq n-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, \Sigma F)$$

and by noticing $F \simeq \Sigma^n \pi_0 N[-n]$ we obtain the results.

Corollary

We have a spectral sequence $E_1^{s,t} = \operatorname{Ext}_{\pi_0(A)}^{2s-t,s}(\pi_0(M), \pi_0(N))$ converging conditionally to $\pi_* \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N)$.

proof

Notice that $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N) \simeq \varprojlim \operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\leq s}}(M \otimes_A A_{\leq s}, N \otimes_A A_{\leq s})$. But by the previous theorem we have the fiber between the filtrations given by $F_s \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\pi_0(A))}(\pi_0(M), \Sigma^s \pi_0 N[-s])$. Thus we have a spectral sequence associated to this filtration with the required E_1 page.

Definition

A potential n -stage for an $\mathbb{E}_k$ -algebra R in $\mathbf{Mod}_{A_{\leq n}}$ such that its underlying $A_{\leq n}$ -module is a potential n -stage. We denote the category of potential n -stages for $\mathbb{E}_k$ -algebra by $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{M}_n)$. This is, of course, equivalent to the condition that $A_{\leq 0} \otimes_{A_{\leq n}} R$ is discrete.

Also by definition $\pi_0(R)$ is an algebra in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\pi_0(A)}(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)$. Finally we have $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{M}_\infty) \simeq \varprojlim_n \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{M}_n)$.

Lemma

Let R be a potential $(n-1)$ -stage for an $\mathbb{E}_k$ -algebra. Then the map $\pi : \Theta R \rightarrow R$ is a square zero extension of the $\mathbb{E}_k$ - $A_{\leq n-1}$ -algebra R by the R -module $\Sigma^{n+1} \pi_0 R[-n]$.

Construction

Recall that the $\mathbb{E}_k$ - $A_{\leq n-1}$ -algebra R has a cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{R/A_{\leq n-1}}^{\mathbb{E}_k}$. As usual, we have an equivalence of the mapping space $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbb{L}_{R/A_{\leq n-1}}^{\mathbb{E}_k}, \Sigma M)$ and the space of square zero-extensions of $\mathbb{E}_k$ - $A_{\leq n-1}$ -algebras $\tilde{R} \rightarrow R$ by M . In particular, an extension is trivial iff its corresponding map from the cotangent complex to ΣM is null.

Theorem

Let R be a potential $(n-1)$ -stage for an $\mathbb{E}_k$ algebra. Then R can be lifted to a potential n -stage iff some obstruction class

$$o_R \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{Mod}_{\pi_0(R)}(D(\mathbf{Mod}_{\pi_0(A)}(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)))}^{n+2,n}(\mathbb{L}_{\pi_0(R)/\pi_0(A)}^{\mathbb{E}_k}, \pi_0(R))$$

vanishes.

Corollary

Let R, T be potential n -stages for an $\mathbb{E}_k$ -algebra, and let $uR \rightarrow uT$ be the corresponding map in the potential $(n - 1)$ -stages. Then we have an equivalence

$$F_\varphi \simeq P_{0,\varphi'} \mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(R)}(\mathbb{L}_{\pi_0(R)/\pi_0(A)}^{\mathbb{E}_k}, \Sigma^{n+1} \pi_0 T[-n])$$

for F_φ the fiber over φ of the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{M}_n)}(R, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{M}_{n-1})}(uR, uT)$$

and φ' is a certain morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(R)}(\mathbb{L}_{\pi_0(R)/\pi_0(A)}^{\mathbb{E}_k}, \Sigma^{n+1} \pi_0 T[-n])$, where $P_{0,\varphi'}$ denote the path space.

Finally, we have φ (which lives in the potential $(n - 1)$ -stage) lifts to the potential n -stage iff $\varphi' \simeq 0$. Moreover, the fiber is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\pi_0(R)}(\mathbb{L}_{\pi_0(R)/\pi_0(A)}^{\mathbb{E}_k}, \Sigma^n \pi_0 T[-n])$$

Corollary

Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a $\mathbb{E}_k$ -morphism of periodic A -algebras in $\mathcal{C}$. Then we have a spectral sequence with

$$E_1^{0,0} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{\pi_0(A)}}(\pi_0(R), \pi_0(T))$$

$$E_1^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_{\pi_0(R)}^{2s-t,s}(\mathbb{L}_{\pi_0(R)/\pi_0(A)}^{\mathbb{E}_k}, \pi_0(T)), t \geq s > 0$$

converging conditionally to $\pi_{t-s}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathbf{Mod}_A)}(R, T), \varphi)$.

Construction

We define an Adams type homology theory E to be a homotopy commutative ring spectrum E which is a filtered colimit $E = \varinjlim E_\alpha$ such that E_*E_α is a finitely generated projective E_* -module, and the Kunnet map $E^*E_\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{E_*}(E_*E_\alpha, E_*)$ is an isomorphism. Then we define $\mathbf{Sp}_E^{fp}$ to be the category of finite spectra with finitely generated projective E -homology, and the covers to be the map inducing E -homology surjections. We define the category of E -synthetic spectra to be $\mathbf{Syn}_E := \mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma^{\mathbf{Sp}}(\mathbf{Sp}_E^{fp})$.

Construction

There is a t -structure on $\mathbf{Syn}_E$. We define for $X \in \mathbf{Syn}_E$, $\pi_n(X)$ to be the sheafification of the presheaf assigning the π_n pointwise. We say X is connective if $\pi_n(X) = 0$ for $n < 0$ and coconnective if $\Omega^\infty X$ is a discrete sheaf of spaces. In particular, we have $\mathbf{Syn}_E^\heartsuit$ the category consisting of sheaves of Abelian groups. This t -structure is right complete. According to our context, we only care about the connective synthetic spectra. We have a functor $\nu : \mathbf{Sp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Syn}_E$ given by the the sheafification of the connective cover of the Yoneda embedding. Moreover, this functor is lax symmetric monoidal.

Finally, we have a bigrading structure on $\mathbf{Syn}_E$ given by $(\Sigma^{s,t} X)(P) = \Sigma^{-t} X(\Sigma^{-s-t} P)$. The categorical suspension is $\Sigma^{1,-1}$.

Construction

We give $\mathbf{Syn}_E^{\geq 0}$ a grading by $[1] = \Sigma^{1,0}$. We claim the following. Firstly, we have for an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ algebra $A \in \mathbf{Sp}_E$, νA an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ algebra. We have the following.

- 1 νA is a shift algebra.
- 2 $\mathbf{Mod}_{\nu A}^{per} \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_A(Sp_E)$.
- 3 $\mathbf{Mod}_{\pi_0(\nu A)}(\mathbf{Syn}_E^\heartsuit) \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_{E_* A}(\mathbf{Comod}_{E_* E})$.
- 4 $\mathbf{Mod}_{\nu A}$ is generated by the periodic modules.

We will not give a proof here since we are running out of time. But the above gives rise to our desired spectral sequence.

Theorem

Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of E -local $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ - A -algebras, then there is a spectral sequence with

$$E_1^{0,0} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{CAlg}_{E_*A}}(\mathbf{Comod}_{E_*E})(E_*R, E_*T)$$

$$E_1^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{Mod}_{E_*R}}^{2s-t,s}(\mathbf{CAlg}_{E_*A}(\mathbf{Comod}_{E_*E}))(\mathbb{L}_{E_*R/E_*A}^{\mathbb{E}_\infty}, E_*T)$$

where E_*T is given E_*R -module structure by the map φ , converging conditionally to

$$\pi_{t-s}(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{CAlg}_A}(R, T), \varphi)$$

Definition

For $A \rightarrow B$ a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra, we define the topological Hochschild homology of B relative to A by $\mathrm{THH}^A(B) = B \otimes_A S^1$, where this is the tensoring with space in the category $\mathbf{CAlg}(A)$. Equivalently, $\mathrm{THH}^A(B) \simeq B \otimes_{B \otimes_A B} B$. Also equivalently, we have $\mathrm{THH}^A(B)$ is the geometric realization of the cyclic bar construction of B over A . In the last construction, the inclusion into the 0-simplices gives a map $B \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^A(B)$. We say $A \rightarrow B$ is THH-etale if $B \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}^A(B)$ is an equivalence.

We say $A \rightarrow B$ is etale if $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}^{\mathbb{E}_\infty}$ is contractible, B connected if $\pi_0(B)$ is connected, and $A \rightarrow B$ separable if $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$ admits a section.

Lemma

Every separable $A \rightarrow B$ is THH-etale. Notice that with G discrete, the G -Galois extension $A \rightarrow B$ is separable, hence is THH-etale.

Theorem

For any map $A \rightarrow B$ of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra, if it is THH-etale, then it is etale.

Corollary

Any G -Galois extension $A \rightarrow B$ with G discrete is etale. In particular, $\prod_G A$ is etale over A .

Theorem

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a G -Galois extension with G finite and B connected. Then the natural map $G \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}_A(B, B)$ giving the action of G on B is an equivalence of $\mathbb{E}_1$ -monoids. In particular, every endomorphism of B over A is an automorphism, up to a contractible choice.

proof

We compute $\mathbf{CAlg}_A(B, B) \simeq \mathbf{CAlg}_B(B \otimes_A B, B) \simeq \mathbf{CAlg}_B(\prod_G B, B)$ by the Goerss-Hopkins spectral sequence derived above. We apply the spectral sequence with the case $E = S$. But since $\prod_G B$ is etale over B , we have the spectral sequence collapses complete at the first page leaving only the term $E_1^{0,0} = \mathbf{CAlg}_{B_*}(\prod_G B_*, B_*) \simeq G$ since B_* is connected. Therefore we have the results.

Theorem

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a G -Galois extension with B connected and G finite and discrete. Then let $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$ be a factorization of the map through a separable $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ - A -algebra C . Then $\mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B)$ is discrete, and through the map $\mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B) \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}_A(B, B)$, $\mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B)$ can be identified with $K = \pi_0(\mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B))$ as a subgroup of G . Moreover, the action of K on B induces an equivalence $B \otimes_C B \simeq \prod_K B$.

proof

Again using the spectral sequence we see that $\mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B)$ is discrete, and $K = \pi_0 \mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B) = \mathbf{Alg}_{\pi_*(B)}(\pi_*(B \otimes_C B), \pi_*(B))$. Then again using the that $\pi_*(B \otimes_C B)$ is a retract of $\pi_*(B \otimes_A B)$, this implies that K is a retract of G , hence is a submonoid of G . But since G is finite, K must also be a group.

Moreover, noticing that $\pi_*(B \otimes_C B)$ is a retract of $\pi_*(B \otimes_A B)$, using the fact that $\pi_*(B)$ is connected, we see that $\pi_*(B \otimes_C B) \simeq \prod_{K'} \pi_*(B)$. Thus $K = K'$, and $B \otimes_A B \simeq \prod_G B$ restricts to $B \otimes_C B \simeq \prod_K B$.

Corollary

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a G -Galois extension with B connected and G finite and discrete. Then let $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$ be a factorization of the map through a separable $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ - A -algebra C such that $C \rightarrow B$ is faithful, and let $K = \pi_0(\mathbf{CAlg}_C(B, B)) \subseteq G$. If $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful, then $C \simeq B^{hK}$ and $C \rightarrow B$ is a faithful K -Galois extension.

proof

We first notice $A \rightarrow B$ is faithful implies B dualizable over C . Moreover we have $B \otimes_C B \simeq \prod_K B$ is an equivalence, and since B is faithful and dualizable over C , we conclude that $B \rightarrow C$ is a faithful K -Galois extension.

Generalizations

Definition

Let A be a $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectra, and let $A \rightarrow B_\alpha$ be a directed family of G_α -Galois extensions, such that for $\alpha \leq \beta$, we have $A \rightarrow B_\alpha$ is a subextension of $A \rightarrow B_\beta$ equipped with a surjection $G_\beta \rightarrow G_\alpha$ with kernel a stably dualizable group $K_{\alpha,\beta}$, and the data of a natural equivalence $B_\alpha \simeq B_\beta^{hK_{\alpha,\beta}}$. Let $B = \varinjlim B_\alpha$ where the colimit is taken in $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ - A -algebras, and let $G = \varprojlim G_\alpha$, then we say $A \rightarrow B$ is a G -pro-Galois extension.

Example

$L_{K(n)}S \rightarrow E_n$ is a $K(n)$ -local pro- $\mathbb{G}_n$ -Galois extension, where $\mathbb{G}_n$ is the Morava stabilizer group viewed as a profinite group.

Definition

A Hopf algebra spectrum is a $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum H equipped with a counit $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow S$ and a co- $\mathbb{E}_1$ coproduct $\psi : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$. We do *not* assume that H has an antipode map.

For $A \rightarrow B$ a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum, we define the cobar complex $C^\bullet(H; B)$ with H coacting on B over A to be the cosimplicial commutative A -algebra with

$$C^q(H; B) = B \otimes H^{\otimes q}$$

The coboundary and codegeneracy maps are obviously defined, and let $C(H; B)$ denote its totalization. The algebra unit $A \rightarrow B$ induces a coaugmentation $A \rightarrow C^\bullet(H; B)$, hence a map $A \rightarrow C(H; B)$. We say a map of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ ring spectrum $A \rightarrow B$ is an H -Hopf-Galois extension if H coacts on B over A , so that the maps $A \rightarrow C(H; B)$ and $h : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \otimes H$ are both weak equivalences.

Example

For an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -grouplike space X , $S[X]$ is a $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum through the multiplication of G , and is a Hopf algebra spectrum with counit given by the collapse map $X \rightarrow *$ and the comultiplication given by the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$.

Example

The unit map $S \rightarrow MU$ is an $S[BU]$ -Hopf-Galois extension. This can be viewed as the global version of $L_{K(n)}S \rightarrow E_n$ is a $K(n)$ -local pro- $\mathbb{G}_n$ -Galois extension.

Thank you!